

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Officer Decision Record

Decision Maker:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment
Title:	Transport Capital Programme Delegated Amendments
Date:	March 2021

Contact name:

Tel: 0370 779 0492

Email: maria.golley@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

1.1. That the Director approves the recommended delegated decisions set out in Appendix 1.

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. To formally amend the capital programme and record delegated decision on projects that require;

(a) entry into the programme up to £250,000 in value, or fully externally funded (no upper limit). (Fin.Reg 2.27a)

(b) additional capital resources on existing schemes up to £250,000 (increase value), or fully externally funded (no upper limit) (Fin.Reg 2.27a)

(c) approval to spend, procure, or to enter necessary contracts on schemes below £250,000 in value. (Fin. Regs 2.27b)

(d) approval to spend up to an additional £250,000 capital on schemes. (Fin. Regs 2.27c & 2.29)

(e) deletion from the programme up to £500,000 in value (Fin.Reg 2.28)

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1 Options on each individual project change are identified in the Appendix.

4. Conflicts of interest:

4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by an Executive Member who is consulted by the officer which relates to the decision: None

5. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:

5.1. None

6. Supporting Information:

6.1. None

Approved by:



Date:

10/03/2021

Stuart Jarvis

Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Decision Report

Decision Maker:	Director of Economy, Transport and Environment
Title:	Transport Capital Programme Delegated Amendments
Date:	March 2021

Contact name:

Tel: 0370 779 0492

Email: maria.golley@hants.gov.uk

1. Executive Summary

- 1.1. The purpose of this paper is to obtain delegated approval to make a range of minor adjustments to the Integrated Transport Programme.
- 1.2. Appendix 1 includes amendments across the ETE Capital Programme, resulting in a net increase in capital approvals totalling £2,477,000.

2. Context

- 2.1. Capital financial regulations set out where delegated decisions can be made on a programme-wide basis.
- 2.2. The “last programme values” are the latest formally reported approval value. For older schemes this is most likely a project appraisal figure. For the current year, most will be project appraisal figures, for future years this is most likely the last EMETE capital update or Project Appraisal figure.
- 2.3. Appendix 1 does not include changes to the programme already approved as a result of a delegated PA, as this change is inherent in the approval.

3. Background

- 3.1. This report is aimed at improving transparency of the various minor amendments that are made to the published capital programmes.
- 3.2. It is intended that all delegated capital decisions for ETE (not Project Appraisals) can be made through this simple report, however it does not restrict separate decision reports being prepared where deemed necessary.
- 3.3. A summary of the decisions made should be included in an appendix to the quarterly Executive Member capital programme updates.

4. Finance

- 4.1. The two recommendations - two amendments as detailed in Appendix 1, result in a net increase in capital approvals totalling £2,477,000.
- 4.2. Where necessary, funding has already been identified to cover increases to the approvals. In cases of decreasing capital allocations, where it has been reallocated this has been identified
- 4.3. An adjustment to the maintenance and revenue implications of any cost increases has not been undertaken. For schemes that are yet to have a PA, the maintenance costs and revenue implications will be calculated then, as usual.

5. Recommendation

- 5.1. That the Director approves the recommendations set out in Appendix 1.

CORPORATE OR LEGAL INFORMATION:**Links to the Corporate Strategy**

Hampshire safer and more secure for all:	Yes
Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate):	
Maximising well-being:	Yes
Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate):	
Enhancing our quality of place:	Yes
Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate):	

Other Significant Links

Links to previous Member decisions:	
<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
ETE Proposed Capital Programme 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24-2021-01-14-EMETE Decision Day (hants.gov.uk)	14/Jan/21
Direct links to specific legislation or Government Directives:	
<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>

Section 100 D - Local Government Act 1972 - background documents

The following documents discuss facts or matters on which this report, or an important part of it, is based and have been relied upon to a material extent in the preparation of this report. (NB: the list excludes published works and any documents which disclose exempt or confidential information as defined in the Act.)

DocumentLocation

Impact Assessments

1. Equalities Impact Assessment:

- 1.1. The proposals in this report have been developed with due regard to the requirements of the Equality Act 2010, including the Public Sector Equality Duty and the Council's equality objectives. The website contains a summary assessment of the impacts on developing Hampshire's highway network and transport systems.
- 1.2. Statutory considerations:

Impact	
Age	None
Disability	None
Sexual Orientation	None
Race	None
Religion and belief	None
Gender Reassignment	None
Sex	None
Marriage and civil partnership	None
Pregnancy and maternity	None
Other policy considerations	
Poverty	None
Rurality	None
Other factors	None
Geographical impact	All of Hampshire

2. Impact on Crime and Disorder:

3. Climate Change:

How does what is being proposed consider the need to adapt to climate change, and be resilient to its longer-term impacts? Climate change impacts are considered at time of Project Appraisals for schemes.

DELEGATED DECISIONS REQUIRED

2020/21	NFDC - A326 South Junction Improvement Works, Fawley Waterside	
Requirement for change		
<p>CMS: 3227</p> <p>An increase in the capital value of the scheme is required due in part to Covid-19 related cost pressures which has increased the risk position of the project. Additional costs have also arisen from other factors which include the scheme's required procurement route, the emerging design requirements from the Department for Transport's cycle infrastructure guidance LTN 1/20 and further environmental and construction constraints. These changes have resulted in an increase from the original approved value of £2,320,000.</p> <p>Increase to be 100% externally funded by an additional contingency sum from Fawley Waterside Ltd. which will be confirmed in an amended Deed of Variation.</p>		
Other options considered and rejected		
To not increase the value of the scheme in the capital programme, however this would not reflect the latest forecast cost of the scheme.		
Last programme value	Change required	New programme value
£8,130,000	+£2,320,000	£10,450,000
Recommendation 1		
To increase the value of this scheme in the 2020/21 capital programme.		

2020/21	BDBC – ATF Brighton Way Cycle Route	
Requirement for change		
<p>CMS 3479</p> <p>The proposal is to enhance the original scheme in the Active Travel Fund (ATF) bid, to ensure compliance with LTN1/20 Cycle Infrastructure Design guidance, which requires widening the cycle track from 2.5 to 3.0m. The additional activities include the installation of street lighting within tree protections areas which will contribute to additional design and construction costs. In addition, there has been changes to the arboriculture and ecology scoping requirements which will have an impact on design and construction costs. It is estimated that the extra construction activities around these constraints will extend the construction period by 6 weeks.</p> <p>The increased capital expenditure will be funded by local developer contributions. Towards the end of 2021, any residual ATF Tranche 2 funding will be reappropriated, to top up any ATF projects with ATF funding shortfalls, thereby releasing local developer contributions back to support funding other schemes.</p>		
Other options considered and rejected		
To not increase the value of the scheme, however it was deemed that this was not possible if the works were to be fully implemented.		
Last programme value	Change required	New programme value
£394,000	+£157,000	£551,000
Recommendation 2		
To increase the value of this scheme in the 2020/21 capital programme.		